Stephen Toulmin argument

- Claim: A statement that something is so.
- Data: The backing for the claim.
- Warrant: The link between the claim and the grounds
- Backing: Support for the warrant.
- Modality: The degree of certainty employed in offering the argument.
- Rebuttal: Exceptions to the initial claim

Thinking map

Skilful analysis and evaluation of arguments

Analysis

- 1 What is/are the main conclusion(s)? (These may be stated or unstated and may be recommendations, explanations, and so on. Conclusion indicator words and the 'therefore' test may help.)
- What are the reasons (data, evidence) and their structure?
- 3 What is assumed (perhaps in the context)?
- 4 Clarify the **meaning** of terms and claims that are unclear.

Evaluation

- 5 Are the reasons acceptable? (These include explicit reasons and unstated assumptions and this may involve evaluating factual claims, definitions and value judgements and judging the credibility of a source.)
- 6 (a) Does the reasoning support its conclusion(s)? (Is the support strong, for example 'beyond reasonable doubt', or weak?)
 - (b) Are there other relevant considerations/arguments which strengthen or weaken the case? (You may already know these or may have to construct them.)
- 7 What is your overall evaluation (in the light of 1 through 6)?

evidence) and their **structure**?', but it has taken us some two chapters to explain in detail how to identify reasons and how to identify the structure of reasoning. It will not, therefore, come as a surprise to learn that there is also a lot of detail to be explained about how to clarify ideas and how to evaluate arguments, but we shall come to these in the next few chapters.

THE TOULMIN MODEL OF ARGUMENTATION

cons relative to an argument. By applying the model, an argument is more reliable, credible, less susceptible to are no clear-cut right answers. This method involves six basic components that weigh and support the pros and organizational structure for constructing critical and persuasive arguments, specifically for situations where there arguments into essays, broader case analyses, or slide shows. This is an art you can develop with experience. rebuttals, and in general more efficient and effective. Thus, the model is useful for ensuring arguments in written The Toulmin Model of Argumentation, named after British philosopher Stephen Toulmin, provides an documents or presentations are structured logically; but it does not provide guidance needed to integrate your

Basic Six Components



Qualifier

There are many more worthwhile uses for money than space travel. Eg. poverty reduction, eradication of war, conservation, encouraging democracy and civil rights. There are many worse things than space travel that we currently spend money on. Not spending money on space travel does not mean the money will be spent on some better cause.	The great challenges to human Human beings need to have inspiring	Pro vel is a waste of time d money more worthwhile than space travel. Eg. on, eradication of war, ncouraging democracy al advances that e flight could be more btained by focused wet another race pace travel is nges to human	Ta Was	Con No - Space travel is not a waste of time and money There are many worse things than space travel that we currently spend noney on. Not spending money on space travel does not mean the money will be spent on some better cause. Space travel has been the source of nany important technological discoveries and developments. Space travel may help us to see tumantly as one group on the same planet.
	avel is yet another race them and us. Much of the on for space travel is	nological advances that h space flight could be more cally obtained by focused		Space travel has been the source of nany important technological liscoveries and developments.
nological advances that h space flight could be more ally obtained by focused		avel is yet another race them and us. Much of the on for space travel is		Space travel may help us to see lumanity as one group on the same lanet.

Rebuttal of Con argument 2	Pro argument 2	Rebuttal of Con argument I	Pro argument 1	Pro	The Propo
→ Con argument 2	Rebuttal of Pro argument 2	Con argument 1	Rebuttal of Pro argument 1	Con	The Proposition to be Analysed